

"EXPRESS MAIL" Mailing Label No. EL048605805US



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Olivier de Pous et al.) PATENT APPLICATION
Serial No.: Not Yet Designated)
(Divisional of Serial No. 08/311,041,)
filed September 22, 1994))
Filed: Concurrently Herewith) Group Art Unit:
For: A DEVICE AND A METHOD FOR) Not Yet Designated
ATTACHING A DISPENSER MEMBER)
TO A RECEPTACLE)
Examiner: Not Yet Designated)

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.97, the art identified on the attached form PTO-1449 and other matters discussed below may be helpful to the Patent Office in its examination of the application identified above.

Copies of the references are not enclosed herewith pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.98(d) because such documents were cited by the Examiner or were previously submitted to the Patent and Trademark Office in the following prior applications on which priority is claimed pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §120:

	<u>Serial No.</u>	<u>Filing Date</u>
1.	08/311,041 (now Patent No. 5,562,219, issued October 8, 1996)	September 22, 1994
2.	08/725,934	October 7, 1996

The order of listing of the art on the attached Form PTO-1449 should not be construed as an indication of the importance of the listed art.

The Patent and Trademark Office Examiner is requested to review the art and determine the extent of the materiality of the disclosures thereof with respect to the patentability of the subject invention. It is expected that the Patent and Trademark Office Examiner will independently conduct a complete search for relevant prior art.

No inference should be drawn and no representation is made or intended: (a) that a search has been made, or if made, was complete; (b) that the art on the attached list presents a comprehensive investigation of the prior art; or (c) that no more pertinent art than that listed is in existence. [See 37 C.F.R. §1.97(g)]

Citation of any art herein is not to be construed as an admission: (a) that the art disclosure is, or is considered to be, necessarily within the invention field of endeavor, pertinent to the instant invention, or equivalent to the instant invention; (b) that the art disclosure is, or is considered to be, necessarily prior in time to a particular date which may be relevant in the instant patent application; (c) that the art disclosure is, or is considered to be, material to patentability as defined in 37 C.F.R. §1.56(b); and/or (d) that the art disclosure is otherwise necessarily prior art with respect to the instant invention and application. [See 37 C.F.R. §1.97(g).]

No inference should be drawn that the discussion of any art herein is a discussion of each and every feature disclosed therein.

Also, there is reserved the right to later set forth how the instant invention is distinguished over the disclosures of any document or other art, including the disclosures of the art cited herein, that may be cited by the Examiner in rejecting a claim in the instant patent application.

Respectfully submitted,

ROCKEY, MILNAMOW & KATZ, LTD.

BY



Paul M. Odell, Reg. No. 28,332

Two Prudential Plaza, Suite 4700
Chicago, Illinois 60601
(312) 616-5400

June 18, 1998